

FINANCING LEGAL EMPOWERMENT IN THE DIGITAL ERA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH LESSONS FOR MOLDOVA

Ludmila GONCEARENCO*¹

Abstract: This paper delves into the nuanced interplay between legal empowerment, digital technologies, and financing models within the Republic of Moldova. It unpacks how digital solutions can bridge the justice gap, offering a lens through which the justice system becomes more accessible and efficient. Through comparative analysis with EU and non-EU countries, the study highlights Moldova's imperative to refine its digital legal empowerment strategies to ensure inclusivity and scalability. Key strategies such as enhancing digital infrastructure and literacy, alongside exploring innovative funding avenues, are identified as crucial. The research suggests a balanced array of challenges and opportunities as Moldova progresses in digital legal service development, emphasizing the need for equitable tech implementation. This paper contributes insightful analysis into the amalgamation of legal empowerment and digital technologies, proposing forward-thinking recommendations for strategic planning and international cooperation to bolster legal empowerment and access to justice in Moldova and beyond.

Keywords: legal empowerment, digital technologies, access to justice, financing strategies, Moldova.

JEL Code: K15, O33, P35, H41, O17.

UDC: 004.78:[336.1:347.9](478)

Introduction

Access to justice is essential for democratic governance and human rights but remains out of reach for many globally. The World Justice Project reveals that a vast number of people cannot obtain justice for everyday issues, especially vulnerable groups (World Justice Project, 2020). This widespread challenge necessitates diverse solutions.

Legal empowerment is key in tackling the justice access crisis. It enables individuals and communities to use the law to advance their rights (Golub, 2010; UNDP, 2009). Strategies include legal education, service provision, and making laws and institutions more accessible (Maru, 2010), aiming to make justice systems equitable.

Digital technologies have revolutionized legal empowerment. Online platforms, AI, and blockchain are making legal information accessible, streamlining processes, and offering remote services (Susskind, 2023), reaching populations previously hindered by distance or cost (Brescia, McCarthy, McDonald, Potts, & Rivais, 2014). Previous research confirms that digitalization enhances governance quality by promoting transparency, institutional efficiency, and public trust, particularly in Eastern European countries (Goncearenco, 2024).

*¹ Ludmila Goncearenco, Ph.D. student, Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, ORCID: 0000-0001-6645-146X

Financing models for these initiatives vary, including public funds, international aid, philanthropy, and innovative approaches like crowdfunding (OECD & Open Society Foundations, 2019). Each model influences the impact and sustainability of projects, with public funding dominant in the EU, and international aid crucial in non-EU contexts (UNDP, 2023a).

This study examines digital legal empowerment in Moldova, a country bridging Eastern and Western legal traditions. It assesses Moldova's digital initiatives, comparing them with EU and non-EU examples to identify best practices and challenges. The focus is on the use of digital technologies, financing models, and their implications for Moldova and similar contexts.

The central questions guiding this study are: (1) How can digital technologies support legal empowerment in Moldova? (2) What financing models are most effective in sustaining such efforts? (3) What lessons can Moldova draw from international experiences in digital legal access?

This paper is structured as follows: the next section presents a literature review of legal empowerment frameworks, digital technologies, and financing models. Section 3 outlines the qualitative methodology used in this study. Section 4 examines the current state of legal empowerment in the Republic of Moldova. Section 5 provides a comparative analysis of digital legal empowerment in Estonia, Spain, India, and Kenya, highlighting lessons applicable to Moldova. Section 6 discusses key findings and challenges, and the final section offers conclusions and strategic policy recommendations to strengthen digital legal empowerment initiatives in Moldova.

Literature Review

Legal empowerment has emerged as a critical concept in the discourse surrounding access to justice and the enhancement of civil liberties. Defined broadly, legal empowerment involves the process by which the poor and marginalized groups are enabled to use the law to advance their rights and interests (Golub, 2010; UNDP, 2009). This encompasses a range of strategies, including legal education, provision of legal services, and reform of laws and institutions to make them more accessible and responsive to the needs of disadvantaged populations (Maru, 2010, 2024). The scope of legal empowerment extends beyond mere legal aid to include efforts that strengthen the capacity of individuals and communities to understand and assert their rights.

In recent years, digital technologies have begun to play a transformative role in legal empowerment efforts. Theoretical frameworks for utilizing digital technologies in legal empowerment draw upon the broader concept of "legal tech," which refers to the use of technology and software to provide legal services and support the legal system (Barton & Rhode, 2018; Corrales, Fenwick, & Haapio, 2019). Digital technologies can democratize access to legal information, automate legal processes, and facilitate remote legal services, thereby overcoming barriers of distance, cost, and complexity that

traditionally impede access to justice (Brescia et al., 2014; Susskind, 2023). For instance, online legal aid platforms, mobile applications for legal education, and blockchain-based property rights registries exemplify how technology is being leveraged to empower marginalized groups (Keith & O'Brien, 2021).

Financing models for legal empowerment initiatives vary across jurisdictions and typically involve a mix of public funding, international aid, private philanthropy, and innovative mechanisms like social impact bonds and crowdfunding (OECD & Open Society Foundations, 2019). Public funding remains a dominant source, particularly in European Union (EU) countries, where legal aid systems are often well-established and government-supported (CEPEJ, 2022). In contrast, non-EU countries with more limited public resources may rely more heavily on international aid and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) for legal empowerment projects (World Bank, 2019). Private philanthropy also plays a significant role, with foundations and corporate social responsibility initiatives funding innovative legal empowerment efforts, especially those utilizing digital technologies (Emtseva, 2023; Muller, Barendrecht, Porter, De Wever, & Pouwelse, 2013).

Lessons from EU and non-EU countries highlight the importance of tailored approaches to legal empowerment that reflect the specific legal, cultural, and socio-economic contexts of different jurisdictions. For instance, in the EU, digital technologies have been integrated into formal legal systems to enhance transparency, efficiency, and accessibility. The e-Justice portal, which provides access to legal information and services across EU countries, exemplifies this approach (Velicogna, 2017). Meanwhile, in non-EU countries, where formal legal systems may be less accessible or trusted, digital technologies have been used to support alternative dispute resolution mechanisms and community-based legal education initiatives (Satterthwaite & Dhital, 2019; UNDP, 2023b).

In the context of Moldova's EU alignment efforts, studies underscore that legal empowerment must be understood not only as a domestic challenge, but as a requirement embedded within the broader anti-fraud and financial conditionality imposed by the EU (Pântea & Pântea, 2019).

The financing of legal empowerment initiatives also reflects differences in legal traditions and resource availability. In EU countries, public funding for legal aid is often mandated by law and considered a fundamental aspect of the right to a fair trial (CEPEJ, 2022). In contrast, in many non-EU countries, legal empowerment projects must navigate more complex funding landscapes, leveraging international aid, private philanthropy, and partnerships with NGOs to fill gaps left by limited public funding (World Bank, 2019).

Legal empowerment, aiming to improve justice access and rights assertion, benefits from digital technologies. However, their success depends on thoughtful deployment and funding, tailored to various population needs. Insights from EU and non-EU experiences highlight the importance of flexible strategies in harnessing technology for legal empowerment, navigating the benefits and hurdles of digital advancements in law.

Methodology

This study utilizes a qualitative literature review to thoroughly explore the complex dimensions of legal empowerment, with a special focus on the integration of digital technologies and the financing models that support these initiatives. The methodology outlined here details the procedure for selecting, analyzing, and synthesizing both scholarly articles and gray literature to develop a comprehensive understanding of current practices and lessons learned within the field.

The selection of documents and studies was guided by a multi-criteria approach ensuring the relevance and reliability of the sources. The review prioritized recent literature published within the last five years to capture the most current trends and innovations in the domains of legal empowerment and digital technology. Nonetheless, seminal works and pivotal studies beyond this timeframe were also included to appreciate their foundational contributions and historical context.

A stringent emphasis was placed on the credibility of sources. Peer-reviewed journal articles, reports from reputable international organizations, and policy documents from governmental agencies were given precedence. This ensured that the findings and discussions in this study are based on authoritative and trustworthy information.

The sources selected spanned a variety of legal, cultural, and socio-economic contexts. This diversity enabled a more robust analysis of legal empowerment as it manifests in different global settings, providing a richer comparative insight.

The literature was analyzed through thematic analysis (Booth, Sutton, Clowes, & Martyn-St James, 2021; Maxwell, 2012). This approach involved organizing and interpreting data based on recurring themes and patterns that align with the study's objectives. The analysis was inherently iterative, involving multiple rounds of reading and re-reading the literature, which facilitated the identification and coding of emergent themes. These themes included the impact of digital technologies on legal empowerment, the diversity of financing models, and contextual applications in both EU and non-EU countries.

The synthesis of information from the selected literature aimed to distill overarching conclusions about the global state of legal empowerment initiatives. This process was critical in identifying best practices and pinpointing prevailing challenges and gaps in how digital technologies are employed to finance legal empowerment. Moreover, the synthesis highlighted innovative solutions and transferable lessons from various jurisdictions, enhancing the potential applicability of the study's findings. By integrating diverse insights and empirical evidence from the literature, this review contributes significantly to a nuanced understanding of how digital technologies and strategic financing can collectively advance legal empowerment efforts.

Legal Empowerment in the Republic of Moldova

Legal empowerment stands as a crucial mechanism for ensuring access to justice, particularly in societies grappling with the legacies of historical injustices and systemic inequalities. The Republic of Moldova, a country with a complex history marked by

periods of foreign domination and recent independence, presents a unique case study in the ongoing efforts to achieve legal empowerment for all its citizens. This exploration delves into the current state of legal empowerment in Moldova, the historical context and challenges that have shaped its journey towards judicial reform, and the key initiatives and reforms that have been undertaken to promote legal empowerment within the country.

In the Republic of Moldova, legal empowerment has increasingly become a focal point of reform agendas aimed at enhancing the rule of law and ensuring equitable access to justice for all segments of the population. Despite significant progress in legislative and institutional reforms, the country continues to face challenges in fully realizing legal empowerment for its citizens. According to the World Justice Project's Rule of Law Index, Moldova ranks relatively low in factors such as regulatory enforcement, civil justice, and fundamental rights, indicating ongoing issues in the effective implementation of laws and accessibility of justice (Majhosev, 2021).

Moldova's historical context is marked by its position as a crossroads of Eastern and Western influences, having been part of the Soviet Union until gaining independence in 1991. The transition to democracy and a market economy has been fraught with challenges, including political instability, economic vulnerabilities, and issues of corruption that have deeply impacted the justice system (Freedom House, 2021, 2023a, 2023b). These challenges have contributed to a general mistrust in public institutions and a perception of the judiciary as inaccessible and inefficient, particularly for marginalized and vulnerable populations.

One of the primary challenges in improving access to justice in Moldova has been the need to overhaul Soviet-era legal frameworks and institutions that are ill-suited to the needs of a modern democratic state. Additionally, the country has struggled with limited resources, both financial and human, to support comprehensive legal reforms and the provision of legal aid services. The lack of awareness among citizens about their legal rights and how to access legal assistance further exacerbates these challenges, leaving many without the means to seek redress for grievances (European Commission, 2023).

Recognizing these challenges, Moldova has embarked on a series of initiatives and reforms aimed at enhancing legal empowerment and ensuring broader access to justice. These efforts have been supported by international partners, including the European Union and various United Nations agencies, and have focused on several key areas:

1. *Legal aid reform*: Moldova has made significant strides in reforming its legal aid system to make it more accessible and effective. The National Legal Aid Council was established to oversee the provision of state-guaranteed legal assistance, and efforts have been made to expand the availability of legal aid services, particularly in rural and underserved areas (UNODC, 2019).
2. *Judiciary reform*: Comprehensive judiciary reforms have been undertaken to increase the independence, transparency, and efficiency of the court system. This includes measures to improve the selection and evaluation of judges, enhance the transparency of court proceedings, and modernize court

infrastructure with the introduction of digital technologies (United Nations Moldova, 2021, 2023).

3. *Public legal education*: Initiatives aimed at raising public awareness about legal rights and how to access justice services have been a critical component of legal empowerment efforts in Moldova. This includes the development of information campaigns, legal education programs in schools, and the use of digital platforms to disseminate legal information (OSCE, 2020, 2022).
4. *Civil society engagement*: Civil society organizations (CSOs) have played a vital role in promoting legal empowerment in Moldova, providing legal assistance and advocacy for policy reforms. Partnerships between the government and CSOs have been instrumental in addressing gaps in legal services and ensuring that the voices of marginalized communities are heard in the reform process (USAID, 2020).

These initiatives and reforms represent significant steps forward in Moldova's journey towards legal empowerment. However, continued efforts are needed to address the systemic challenges that persist and ensure that the gains made are sustainable and inclusive of all segments of society.

Moldova's journey in legal empowerment showcases the challenge of enhancing justice access during transition. Despite progress from reforms, persistent challenges highlight the importance of commitment to the rule of law and supporting vulnerable groups. Moldova's experiences provide useful lessons for nations facing similar legal empowerment hurdles.

Role of Digital Technologies in Enhancing Legal Empowerment

Digital technologies are fundamentally transforming the landscape of legal systems globally, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance legal empowerment and access to justice. The role of digital technologies in legal systems encompasses a wide array of applications, from online dispute resolution platforms to legal information databases, electronic case management systems, and beyond. These technologies have the potential to make legal processes more efficient, transparent, and accessible, particularly for marginalized and underserved populations.

Digital technologies have been adopted in various capacities within legal systems around the world to improve the delivery of legal services and the administration of justice. One prominent example is the use of online legal information systems that provide the public with accessible, understandable legal information. Such systems demystify legal processes and empower individuals with the knowledge needed to navigate their legal rights and obligations (Susskind, 2023).

Another significant development is the emergence of online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms. ODR utilizes technology to facilitate the resolution of disputes between parties through the internet, often without the need for physical court appearances. This approach has been particularly effective in resolving lower-value claims and minor legal disputes efficiently and at a lower cost (Cortés, 2010; Jeretina, 2018).

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are also increasingly being applied within legal systems to automate routine tasks, predict legal outcomes, and assist in legal research. These technologies have the potential to significantly reduce the time and cost associated with legal processes, making justice more accessible to all (Surden, 2019; Walters & Novak, 2021).

In the European Union, several member states have implemented digital initiatives to enhance legal empowerment. For example, Estonia stands out for its e-justice system, which includes e-filing of court documents, electronic service of process, and even e-resolutions for certain types of cases. Estonia's digital infrastructure significantly reduces the administrative burden on the judiciary and litigants, making legal processes more accessible and efficient (e-Estonia, 2023; Numa, 2022).

Outside the EU, India's Tele-Law program leverages video conferencing and telephone services to connect individuals in remote and rural areas with legal advisors. This initiative has been crucial in providing legal advice and assistance to those who would otherwise have limited access to legal services due to geographical and financial constraints (Ministry of Law and Justice, 2019).

In Kenya, the platform M-Haki – "Haki" meaning "rights" in Swahili – provides legal information and advice via SMS and mobile messaging, allowing users to seek legal assistance directly from their mobile phones. This innovation is particularly impactful in a country where a significant portion of the population has limited access to the internet but widespread use of mobile phones (Barasa & Kirima, 2020).

In Ukraine, digital technologies are significantly impacting the legal sector, offering new avenues for legal empowerment and access to justice. Innovations such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and online legal services are transforming traditional legal practices (Khatniuk et al., 2024). These technologies enhance legal research, streamline case management, facilitate client communication, and automate document processing. The adaptation to digital technologies in Ukraine's legal framework underscores a shift towards more efficient, accessible, and client-oriented legal services, reflecting broader global trends in leveraging technology to bridge gaps in legal access and empower individuals and communities legally.

Moldova has embarked on a path toward digital transformation across various sectors, including its legal system. The potential for digital technologies to enhance legal empowerment and access to justice in Moldova is significant. By adopting and adapting successful models from both EU and non-EU countries, Moldova can overcome traditional barriers to justice such as geographical remoteness, lack of legal awareness, and financial constraints.

The implementation of an online legal information system could serve as a foundational step, providing citizens with easy access to legal resources, information about their rights, and guidance on navigating legal processes. Similarly, the development of an ODR platform in Moldova could streamline the resolution of minor disputes and reduce the caseload of courts, making the justice system more efficient and accessible.

Leveraging mobile technologies for legal services delivery, akin to Kenya's M-Haki platform, could also have a profound impact in Moldova, given the high penetration rate of mobile phone usage in the country. Such a platform could provide legal advice and assistance to rural and underserved communities, bridging the gap in legal access.

Furthermore, the adoption of AI and machine learning for legal document analysis and case management could enhance the efficiency of Moldova's legal system, freeing up valuable resources to focus on complex legal issues and cases that require human intervention. This would not only improve the administration of justice but also potentially increase public trust in the legal system.

Integrating digital technologies into Moldova's legal system can significantly improve access to justice by making processes more efficient and transparent. Learning from both EU and non-EU countries, Moldova has the chance to address longstanding challenges and enhance legal empowerment. Developing its digital infrastructure is crucial for the successful adoption of these technologies.

Financing Strategies and Models

Financing legal empowerment initiatives is crucial for ensuring access to justice, especially for marginalized and vulnerable populations. These initiatives aim to enhance the capacity of individuals and communities to understand and assert their rights, navigate the legal system, and participate fully in society. Financing strategies and models for legal empowerment vary significantly across different jurisdictions, influenced by factors such as legal traditions, economic conditions, and the availability of resources. This essay examines various financing strategies and models, analyzes international best practices, and explores their applicability to Moldova. Additionally, it delves into innovative funding approaches, including public-private partnerships, crowdfunding, and international aid.

Legal empowerment initiatives require sustainable financing to be effective. Traditional models include government funding, international aid, and support from non-governmental organizations (NGOs). Government funding is perhaps the most direct way to finance legal empowerment, with resources allocated through the national or local budget to legal aid services, public awareness campaigns, and capacity-building programs (UNDP, 2023a). However, reliance on government funding can be problematic in contexts where resources are limited or political will is lacking.

International aid has been a significant source of funding for legal empowerment initiatives, especially in developing countries. Organizations such as the United Nations Development Programme and the World Bank have supported a range of initiatives aimed at enhancing access to justice and legal literacy (World Bank, 2019). While international aid can provide much-needed resources, it may also come with conditions or priorities that do not always align with local needs or strategies.

NGOs and civil society organizations play a crucial role in legal empowerment, often filling gaps left by public services. These organizations typically rely on a mix of donor funding, grants, and private donations to support their activities. While this model

can enable innovative and community-driven approaches, it can also lead to sustainability challenges when funding is inconsistent or tied to specific projects (Open Society Foundations, 2021).

Internationally, several best practices have emerged in financing legal empowerment initiatives. For instance, the Legal Empowerment Fund, launched by the Fund for Global Human Rights and NAMATI, aims to provide flexible, long-term funding to grassroots legal empowerment efforts around the world. This model emphasizes the importance of adaptable funding that responds to the needs of local communities and supports systemic change (The Fund for Global Human Rights, 2023).

Another example is the Justice for All campaign, which advocates for increased funding and political support for legal empowerment as part of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The campaign highlights the role of legal empowerment in achieving broader development goals, including poverty reduction, gender equality, and reduced inequalities (NAMATI, 2022).

For Moldova, adopting international best practices in financing legal empowerment initiatives requires considering the country's specific context, including its legal system, economic conditions, and existing civil society landscape. Strategies that have proven effective elsewhere, such as flexible funding models and advocacy for increased political support, could be adapted to Moldova's needs. Additionally, leveraging Moldova's strategic partnerships and alignment with European Union standards could open up opportunities for additional funding and support.

Innovative funding approaches, including public-private partnerships (PPPs), crowdfunding, and international aid, offer new possibilities for financing legal empowerment initiatives. PPPs involve collaboration between the government and private sector entities to fund and deliver public services, including legal services. This model can bring additional resources and expertise to legal empowerment initiatives, though it requires careful management to ensure public interests are prioritized (Cotula & Berger, 2017; Nielsen, 2012).

Crowdfunding represents another innovative approach, leveraging online platforms to raise small amounts of money from a large number of people. Crowdfunding campaigns can support specific legal cases, awareness-raising initiatives, or broader access to justice projects. This approach enables broader community engagement and support for legal empowerment but may not provide the sustained funding needed for long-term initiatives (Abbot, 2020; Smith, 2021).

International aid, particularly when focused on supporting systemic reforms and capacity building, can play a crucial role in financing legal empowerment in Moldova. Aid from international organizations and foreign governments can support the development of legal infrastructure, training for legal professionals, and public awareness campaigns (Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Moldova, 2024). However, aligning international aid with national priorities and ensuring local ownership of initiatives is essential for their success and sustainability (OECD, 2024).

Financing legal empowerment in Moldova demands a diverse approach, blending traditional funding from governments, international aid, and NGOs with innovative methods like PPPs and crowdfunding. Adapting best practices and new funding models can boost access to justice. Success hinges on aligning these efforts with local needs and integrating them into a wider strategy to fortify the legal system and civil society.

Comparative Analysis

The pursuit of legal empowerment and the use of digital technologies in the legal domain represent critical areas of development across the globe. Countries, both within the European Union and outside of it, have embarked on various initiatives to harness digital technologies for legal empowerment, aiming to enhance access to justice for their citizens. This comparative analysis delves into the efforts undertaken by Moldova and selected EU and non-EU countries, highlighting success stories, challenges encountered, and extracting valuable lessons that could inform Moldova's ongoing and future legal empowerment endeavors.

Moldova has made commendable strides in legal empowerment and the integration of digital technologies within its legal system. Initiatives such as the e-justice portal, which aims to facilitate access to legal information and services, reflect Moldova's commitment to leveraging technology to enhance legal empowerment. However, challenges remain, including ensuring widespread access to these digital services across all regions and demographics, particularly in rural areas where digital literacy and infrastructure may be lacking (Bank, 2021; World Bank Group, 2023).

Estonia stands as a paragon of digital governance, with its e-Residency program and X-Road digital infrastructure, which have significantly improved access to government and legal services for its citizens and e-residents. Estonia's digital court system allows for efficient case handling, filing, and management, dramatically reducing the time and bureaucratic hurdles traditionally associated with legal processes (Dori, 2023; e-Estonia, 2023).

Spain, on the other hand, has focused on enhancing transparency and access to legal information through its Transparency Portal and the use of blockchain technology for secure document handling in legal processes. Spain's commitment to open government and participatory democracy has been bolstered by these digital initiatives, though challenges in digital divide and ensuring equitable access persist (Dori, 2023; Portal de la Transparencia, 2023).

India has launched the Tele-Law service, aiming to bridge the legal aid gap for its rural and underserved populations. By connecting lawyers with clients through video conferencing and a network of para-legal volunteers, India has managed to extend legal services to remote areas, though challenges in infrastructure and digital literacy continue to limit reach (Ministry of Law and Justice, 2019; Saxena, 2024).

Kenya has made significant advancements with its innovative M-Haki platform – a mobile-based legal consultation service that provides legal information and services via SMS. This platform caters to the Kenyan populace, especially those in remote areas,

although challenges in terms of service scope and legal complexity need addressing (Barasa & Kirima, 2020; Medium, 2023).

From these examples, several key lessons emerge for Moldova:

- *Infrastructure and access:* Ensuring the robustness of digital infrastructure and broadening access are fundamental. Estonia's example shows the importance of a comprehensive digital strategy that includes building a resilient digital infrastructure. Moldova could benefit from investing in digital infrastructure to ensure that legal digital services are accessible to all citizens, regardless of their geographical location.
- *Digital literacy:* Enhancing digital literacy is crucial for the success of digital legal empowerment initiatives. As seen in India and Kenya, even with the availability of digital legal services, their effectiveness is limited if the target population lacks the skills to utilize these services. Implementing comprehensive digital literacy programs can help Moldova maximize the impact of its digital legal initiatives.
- *Public-Private Partnerships:* Engaging in PPPs can be instrumental in leveraging the strengths of both the public and private sectors in developing and implementing digital legal services. Spain's use of blockchain technology exemplifies how collaboration between government and technology providers can enhance the security and efficiency of legal processes.
- *Inclusivity and equity:* Ensuring that digital legal empowerment efforts are inclusive and equitable is essential. While digital technologies offer tremendous potential to improve access to legal services, they can also exacerbate existing inequalities if not carefully managed. Moldova must strive to ensure that digital legal services are designed and implemented in a way that is accessible and useful to all segments of the population, including those with disabilities, the elderly, and those in rural areas.
- *Scalability and sustainability:* Developing digital legal services that are scalable and sustainable over the long term is vital. Moldova can learn from the challenges faced by other countries in maintaining and scaling digital legal services to ensure that these initiatives remain viable and continue to serve the needs of the population effectively.

The comparative analysis of legal empowerment and digital technology use in Moldova, Estonia, Spain, India, and Kenya shows varied initiatives and challenges. Moldova can improve its legal empowerment strategy by adopting lessons from these countries, using digital technologies to improve justice access. Key strategies include investing in digital infrastructure, boosting digital literacy, encouraging public-private partnerships, ensuring inclusivity, and focusing on the sustainability of digital legal services. These measures can help Moldova enhance its digital legal empowerment efforts.

Discussion

The exploration of legal empowerment through the lens of digital technology integration reveals a nuanced tapestry of advancements and hurdles. This synthesis draws upon extensive literature reviews and comparative analyses, shedding light on the transformative impact digital technologies hold for legal empowerment, particularly within the context of Moldova. The journey of nations like Estonia and India, which have pioneered in embedding digital governance and legal aid frameworks respectively, serves as a beacon of the potential within reach. Estonia's digital court system exemplifies efficiency and accessibility, a testament to what can be achieved with robust digital governance (Dori, 2023; Portal de la Transparencia, 2023).

India's Tele-Law service, on the other hand, demonstrates the power of technology in extending legal aid to the underserved, highlighting the pivotal role of inclusivity in legal empowerment initiatives (Ministry of Law and Justice, 2019; Saxena, 2024).

However, the path to digital legal empowerment is fraught with challenges, from bridging digital divides to ensuring the sustainability of funding models. These challenges necessitate a comprehensive approach that melds policy reform, capacity building, and relentless innovation. The need for policy reform is evident, as a comprehensive legal framework that supports the adoption of digital technologies while safeguarding against potential risks such as data breaches and privacy violations is essential. Moreover, enhancing digital literacy among both legal practitioners and the general populace emerges as a critical step towards maximizing the benefits of digital legal services. This dual focus on policy and capacity underscores a broader strategy for adapting to and leveraging digital transformation within the legal realm.

Strategic considerations for Moldova and similar contexts emerge from this analysis. Central to these is the development of inclusive digital infrastructure that ensures equitable access to digital legal services across diverse demographics and geographies. The potential of public-private partnerships in driving innovation and improving service delivery is also highlighted, suggesting a model where collaborative efforts can enhance the quality and reach of digital legal services. Furthermore, the importance of strengthening legal and regulatory frameworks to address emerging challenges associated with digital technologies is underscored, pointing towards the need for adaptive and forward-looking governance structures.

Improving financing models for legal empowerment initiatives utilizing digital technologies calls for a nuanced understanding of the landscape of funding. The exploration suggests a move towards diversified funding sources that blend government funding, international aid, private investment, and innovative mechanisms like crowdfunding. This approach not only ensures sustainable financing but also opens up avenues for leveraging international aid and social impact investments, thereby fostering initiatives that have broad social benefits.

International cooperation stands out as a pivotal element in the broader strategy for leveraging digital technologies in legal empowerment. Engaging in knowledge exchange with countries that have pioneered successful initiatives offers invaluable insights that can inform policy and practice. Participation in international networks dedicated to justice innovation and digital governance further amplifies the opportunities for learning and collaboration. Additionally, addressing cross-border legal challenges necessitates coordinated efforts and shared technologies, highlighting the importance of international partnerships in navigating the complexities of the digital age.

Conclusions

This study has revealed the complex interplay between digital technologies, legal empowerment, and financing strategies within the context of Moldova, drawing upon insights from both EU and non-EU countries. As Moldova progresses in refining its justice system, aligning with international standards and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) offers a promising pathway towards substantive legal reform.

Countries such as Estonia and Georgia provide instructive examples of successful justice reforms that incorporate digital technologies. Estonia's comprehensive e-justice system, which includes e-filing, online dispute resolution, and digital access to legal resources, exemplifies how technological integration can enhance transparency and efficiency within the justice system. Similarly, Georgia has made significant strides in improving its legal infrastructure, including training judges and introducing technologies that streamline legal proceedings. These examples offer practical benchmarks for Moldova, illustrating that strategic planning and a commitment to digital transformation can profoundly strengthen judicial processes.

To align Moldova's justice system with the SDGs, particularly Goal 16, which promotes just, peaceful, and inclusive societies, it is crucial to implement strategic measures. Enhancing access to justice through digital platforms can significantly bridge service gaps for underserved populations, thereby making legal processes more transparent and accessible. Initiatives like the development of an online legal aid system, similar to those implemented in Kenya and India, could provide broad access to essential legal resources and advice. Furthermore, integrating SDGs into the national legal frameworks would foster policies that support sustainable development, addressing critical issues like gender equality and reduced inequalities through legal avenues.

For enhancing judicial independence and technological integration in Moldova, it is recommended that Moldova consider establishing an independent judicial council responsible for overseeing the appointment, promotion, and disciplinary procedures of judges to ensure that these processes remain free from political influence. The model adopted by Slovenia, which has significantly bolstered its judicial independence through such governance structures, could offer valuable insights. Additionally, implementing a phased integration of digital technologies within the judiciary is critical. Starting with the

automation of routine administrative tasks could help acclimate the legal workforce to new technologies before more complex systems, such as AI-driven legal analysis tools, are introduced. Furthermore, fostering partnerships with technology firms and academia can drive innovation and ensure that the judiciary's needs are effectively met. Continuous education programs for legal professionals on the use of digital tools and the implications of digital law, modeled after successful initiatives in the EU, should also be established to support the judiciary's adaptation to digital transformations.

These strategic recommendations aim to provide Moldova with a robust approach to enhance its legal framework and judicial system, ensuring that reforms are sustainable, inclusive, and aligned with broader global objectives. By implementing these measures, Moldova can make significant advancements in legal empowerment and access to justice, setting a precedent for similar reforms in other nations.

References

- Abbot, C. (2020). Losing the local? Public participation and legal expertise in planning law. *Legal Studies*, 40(2), 269-285.
- Barasa, C., & Kirima, A. (2020). Kituo Cha Sheria: staying the course in the journey to legal empowerment. *ESR Review: Economic and Social Rights in South Africa*, 21(4), 11-15.
- Barton, B. H., & Rhode, D. L. (2018). *Access to Justice and Routine Legal Services: New Technologies Meet Bar Regulators*. Stanford Public Law Working Paper. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3183738#
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., Clowes, M., & Martyn-St James, M. (2021). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review*. *Scientific Research*. <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3184401>
- Brescia, R. H., McCarthy, W., McDonald, A., Potts, K., & Rivais, C. (2014). Embracing disruption: How technological change in the delivery of legal services can improve access to justice. *Albany Law Review*, 78, 553. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2515009
- CEPEJ. (2022). *European Judicial Systems CEPEJ Evaluation Report*. <https://rm.coe.int/cepej-report-2020-22-e-web/1680a86279>
- Corrales, M., Fenwick, M., & Haapio, H. (2019). *Legal tech, smart contracts and blockchain*. Springer. <https://content.e-bookshelf.de/media/reading/L-12198840-5037ddb6fb.pdf>
- Cortés, P. (2010). *Online dispute resolution for consumers in the European Union*. Taylor & Francis. <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/181972/1/391038.pdf>
- Cotula, L., & Berger, T. (2017). Trends in global land use investment: implications for legal empowerment: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Dori, A. (2023). *The Supply and Demand of Justice: What Policy Implications from the EU Justice Scoreboard?* Springer. <https://pure.eur.nl/en/publications/the-supply-and-demand-of-justice-what-policy-implications-from-th/>
- e-Estonia. (2023). *e-Justice*. <https://e-estonia.com/wp-content/uploads/2019aug-facts-a4-v04-e-justice.pdf>

- Emtseva, J. (2023). Philanthropic Justice: The Role of Private Foundations in Transitional Justice Processes. *Michigan Journal of International law*, 44 (2), 219. <https://repository.law.umich.edu/mjil/vol44/iss2/3/>
- European Commission. (2023). *European Neighbourhood Policy and Enlargement Negotiations (DG NEAR)*. https://enlargement.ec.europa.eu/european-neighbourhood-policy-and-enlargement-negotiations-dg-near_en
- Freedom House. (2021). *Nations in Transit 2021*. https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/NIT_2021_final_042321.pdf
- Freedom House. (2023a). *Freedom in the World 2023: Moldova*. <https://freedomhouse.org/country/moldova/freedom-world/2023>
- Freedom House. (2023b). *Nations in Transit 2023 - Moldova*. https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2023-05/NIT_2023_Digital.pdf
- Golub, S. (2010). *Legal empowerment: practitioners' perspectives*. https://www.idlo.int/sites/default/files/pdfs/publications/Legal_Empowerment_Practitioners_Perspectives_Book.pdf
- Goncearenco, L. (2024). Financing justice for sustainable development in Moldova. *Eastern European Journal for Regional Studies*, 10(1), 194-209. https://csei.ase.md/journal/files/issue_101/EEJRS_Issue10.1_page-194-209.pdf
- Jeretina, U. (2018). Consumer online dispute resolution (ODR)-a mechanism for innovative e-governance in EU. *Central European Public Administration Review*, 16, 45-67. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3315321
- Keith, L., & O'Brien, M. (2021). Connecting Access to Advocacy: A Role for Technology in Legal Empowerment. *Georgetown Law Technology Review*, 5, 127. https://georgetownlawtechreview.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/4.2-Keith-O'Brien_Tech-and-Empowerment_Formatted.pdf
- Khatniuk, N., Chapliuk, O., Udovenko, Z., Nykolyna, K., Pobiianska, N., & Oblovatska, N. (2024). Legal Empowerment and The Role of Digital Technologies in The Development of Legal Services in Ukraine. *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, 18(6), e05792-e05792. <https://rgsa.openaccesspublications.org/rgsa/article/view/5792>
- Majhosev, A. (2021). *World justice project, rule of law index 2021*. <https://govwatch.gr/en/reports/world-justice-project-rule-of-law-index-2021/>
- Maru, V. (2010). Access to justice and legal empowerment: a review of World Bank practice. *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*, 2(2), 259-281.
- Maru, V. (2024). *Vivek Maru on Legal Empowerment for Communities*. <https://www.ceu.edu/article/2024-03-13/vivek-maru-legal-empowerment-communities>
- Maxwell, J. A. (2012). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43220402_Qualitative_Research_Design_An_Interactive_Approach_JA_Maxwell
- Medium. (2023). *Is Technology a viable tool in bridging the legal gap for Kenyan Citizens?* <https://medium.com/@legalsunflower/is-technology-a-viable-tool-in-bridging-the-legal-gap-for-kenyan-citizens-48cb553fc9af>
- Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Moldova. (2024). *Procesul de digitalizare a sectorului justiției - o prioritate a Ministerului Justiției, susținută de partenerii de dezvoltare* [The Digitalization Process of the Justice Sector - A Priority of the Ministry of Justice, Supported by Development Partners]. <https://www.justice.gov.md/ro/content/procesul-de-digitalizare-sectorului-justitiei-o-prioritate-ministerului-justitiei-sustinuta>

- Ministry of Law and Justice. (2019). *Annual Report 2018-2019*. <https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s35d6646aad9bcc0be55b2c82f69750387/uploads/2021/10/2021100683.pdf>
- Muller, S., Barendrecht, M., Porter, R., De Wever, W., & Pouwelse, E. (2013). *Innovating Justice: Developing new ways to bring fairness between people*. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/21234443-innovating-justice-developing-new-ways-to-bring-fairness-between-people>
- NAMATI. (2022). *Evaluation of Justice for All Campaign*. <https://grassrootsjusticenetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/Justice-for-All-Campaign-Evaluation.pdf>
- Nielsen, R. (2012). *Sustaining legal empowerment in initiatives to support local land rights*. <https://www.iied.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/migrate/G03513.pdf>
- Numa, A. (2022). *Estonia e-justice system*. <https://e-estonia.com/artificial-intelligence-as-the-new-reality-of-e-justice/>
- Open Society Foundations. (2021). *Making the Law Work for People*. <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/publications/making-the-law-work-for-people>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *Development Co-operation Report*. <https://www.oecd.org/dac/development-cooperation-report/>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development & Open Society Foundations. (2019). *Legal Needs Surveys and Access to Justice*. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2019/05/legal-needs-surveys-and-access-to-justice_g1g9a36c/g2g9a36c-en.pdf
- Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe. (2020). *Annual Report 2019*. <https://www.osce.org/annual-report/2019>
- Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe. (2022). *Annual Report 2021*. <https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/a/4/520912.pdf>
- Pântea, A., & Pântea, S. (2019). European Union-Eap-Moldova. Reflections on the Perspectives of the Emergence of Transnational Justice on Anti-Corruption and Anti-Fraud Dimension. *Eastern European Journal for Regional Studies (EEJRS)*, 5(2), 100-119. https://csei.ase.md/journal/files/issue_52/EEJRS_5.2_f-100-119_PAN.pdf
- Portal de la Transparencia. (2023). *What is the transparency Portal?* [https://transparencia.gob.es/transparencia/en/transparecia/Home/index/MasInformacion/Que-es-el-portal-de-transparencia.html](https://transparencia.gob.es/transparencia/en/transparencia/Home/index/MasInformacion/Que-es-el-portal-de-transparencia.html)
- Satterthwaite, M. L., & Dhital, S. (2019). Measuring access to justice: Transformation and technicality in SDG 16.3. *Global Policy*, 10, 96-109. <https://pad.undp.org.mx/files/g/820dcf0c1242364677545293.44594fd/banco/archivo/60/0/measuring-access-to-justice-transformation-and-technicality-in-sdg-16-3.pdf>
- Saxena, P. (2024). Technological Innovations in India's Legal Sector for Access to Justice During and Post Pandemic. *Law and Development Review* 18(2), 331-353. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/bpj/lawdev/v18y2025i2p331-353n1003.html>
- Smith, R. (2021). The Future of Law: Technology, Innovation and Access to Justice in Legal Services Around the World. *Technology, Innovation and Access to Justice*, 69-88. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359764852_5_The_Future_of_Law_Technology_Innovation_and_Access_to_Justice_in_Legal_Services_Around_the_World
- Surden, H. (2019). Artificial intelligence and law: An overview. *Georgia State University Law Review*, 35, 19-22. <https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/gsulr/vol35/iss4/8/>

- Susskind, R. (2023). *Tomorrow's lawyers: An introduction to your future*. Oxford University Press. <https://academic.oup.com/oxford-law-pro/book/60058>
- The Fund for Global Human Rights. (2023). *Legal Empowerment Fund. It's Time to Close the Global Justice Gap*. <https://globalhumanrights.org/what-we-do/legal-empowerment/>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2009). *Legal Empowerment of the Poor and Eradication of Poverty*. <https://www.un.org/esa/socdev/documents/reports/Legal%20empowerment%20of%20the%20poor.pdf>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2023a). *Evaluation of the UNDP Support to Access to Justice*. <https://erc.undp.org/evaluation/documents/download/22269>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2023b). *UNDP Rule of Law and Human Rights Global Programme — 2022 Annual Report*. https://rolhr.undp.org/assets/files/UNDP_ROLHR_2022_AnnualReport.pdf
- United Nations Moldova. (2021). *United Nations Moldova: Annual Results Report 2020*. https://moldova.un.org/sites/default/files/2021-05/2020%20Country%20Results%20Report_FINAL.pdf
- United Nations Moldova. (2023). *2022 United Nations Country Annual Results Report*. <https://moldova.un.org/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022%20UN%20Country%20Annual%20Results%20Report%20FINAL.pdf>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2019). *Handbook on Ensuring Quality of Legal Aid Services in Criminal Justice Processes. Practical Guidance and Promising Practices*. https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/HB_Ensuring_Quality_Legal_Aid_Services.pdf
- United States Agency for International Development (2020). *Country Development Cooperation Strategy*. https://www.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/2024-01/CDCS-Moldova-December-2027_1.pdf
- Velicogna, M. (2017). *In search of smartness: The EU e-Justice challenge. Paper presented at the Informatics*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9709/4/4/38>
- Walters, R., & Novak, M. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and Law. In *Cyber Security, Artificial Intelligence, Data Protection & the Law* (pp. 39-69) Springer. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352120629_Cyber_Security_Artificial_Intelligence_Data_Protection_the_Law
- World Bank Group. (2021). *World development report 2021: Data for better lives*. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/wdr2021>
- World Bank. (2019). *World Development Report 2019: The Changing Nature of Work*. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/wdr2019>
- World Bank Group. (2023). *World Bank in Moldova. Recent Economic Developments*. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/moldova/overview>
- World Justice Project. (2020). *Global Insights on Access to Justice 2020*. <https://worldjusticeproject.org/our-work/research-and-data/global-insights-access-justice-2020>